

1st

On the motion of Thomas Ridley against J. A. Bell, Jas E. Schell, Jas Dillard & H. D. Dillard have had twenty days previous notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the sum of \$166⁵⁰ being a sum equal to the interest for one year on the sum of \$1935⁷⁵ - the principal debt due by the Defendants to the Plaintiff together with the costs of suit & witness & Jas T. Bell to H. W. Briggs by bond dated April 28th 1859 payable on demand and assigned to the Plaintiff by said H. W. Briggs. And also that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants his costs by him in this behalf expended.

1595

On the motion of Thomas Ridley against R. J. Dardiv. J. J. Dardiv & H. P. Pepe. This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the Court that the Defendants have had twenty days previous notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants Sixty dollars being a sum equal to the interest for one year on the sum of \$1000. Principal debt due by Defendants to Plaintiff by bond dated 17 May 1858 payable on demand - and also that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants his costs by him about his application in this behalf expended.

1591

On the motion of Thomas Ridley against R. J. Dardiv. J. J. Dardiv & H. P. Pepe - This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the Court that the defendants have had twenty days previous notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants One hundred eighty seven dollars and 50 cents being a sum equal to the interest for one year on the sum of \$1676⁵⁰ - principal debt due by the Defendants to the Plaintiff by bond dated 15 Sept. 1859 payable on demand - And also that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants his costs by him in this behalf expended.

1613

On the motion of Thomas Ridley against R. J. Dardiv. J. J. Dardiv & H. P. Pepe. H. P. Pepe Esq. & Pepe. This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the Court that the Defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants Ninety dollars being a sum equal to the interest for one year on the sum of \$1500. Principal debt due by the Defendants to the Plaintiff by bond dated 10 June 1854 payable on demand - and also that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants his costs by him in this behalf expended -

1548

On the motion of Mr. H. Bradshaw Esq. of Mordacai Bradshaw dec'd. against Lewis H. Stephenson & Son, 10 Banker. This day come the Plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants Sixty dollars being a sum equal to the interest for one year on the sum of \$1000. principal debt due by the Defendants to the Plaintiff by judgment of the Circuit Court of this County at May Term 1866. and also that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended.

1698

On the motion of A. T. Stephenson against Edward L. Stephenson. This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the Court that the Defendant has had legal notice of this motion he was solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants thirty dollars being a sum equal to the interest for one year on the sum of \$500. principal debt due by the Defendant to Plaintiff and one A. T. Stephenson to the Plaintiff and on demand the 17 day of September 1866. And also that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant his costs by him in this behalf expended.